

Clinical Study

Summary of bi-shRNA^{furin}/GM-CSF Augmented Autologous Tumor Cell Immunotherapy (FANG™) in Advanced Cancer of the Liver

John Nemunaitis^{a-c, e} Minal Barve^{a, e} Douglas Orr^d Joseph Kuhn^f
Mitchell Magee^g Jeffrey Lamont^d Cynthia Bedell^a Gladice Wallraven^b
Beena O. Pappen^b Alyssa Roth^a Staci Horvath^a Derek Nemunaitis^a
Padmasini Kumar^b Phillip B. Maples^b Neil Senzer^{a-c, e}

^aMary Crowley Cancer Research Centers, ^bGradalis, Inc., ^cMedical City Dallas Hospital,

^dBaylor Medical Center, ^eTexas Oncology, PA, ^fWLS Surgical Associates, PA, and

^gCardiovascular Specialty Associates of North Texas, PA, Dallas, Tex., USA

© Free Author Copy – for personal use only

ANY DISTRIBUTION OF THIS ARTICLE WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT FROM S. KARGER AG, BASEL IS A VIOLATION OF THE COPYRIGHT.

Written permission to distribute the PDF will be granted against payment of a permission fee, which is based on the number of accesses required. Please contact permission@karger.ch

Key Words

FANG™ · RNA interference · bi-shRNA^{furin}/GM-CSF · Hepatocellular carcinoma · Immunotherapy · Phase I study

Abstract

Therapies for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) are limited. We carried out a phase I trial of a novel autologous whole-cell tumor cell immunotherapy (FANG™), which incorporates a dual granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) expressive/bifunctional small hairpin RNA interference (bi-shRNAi) vector. The bi-shRNAi DNA targets furin, which is a proconvertase of transforming growth factors beta (TGFβ) 1 and 2. Safety, mechanism, immunoeffectiveness, and suggested benefit were previously shown [Senzer et al.: *Mol Ther* 2012;20:679–689; Senzer et al.: *J Vaccines Vaccin* 2013;4:209]. We now provide further follow-up of a subset of 8 HCC patients. FANG manufacturing was successful in 7 of 8 attempts (one failure due to insufficient cell yield). Median GM-CSF expression was 144 pg/10⁶ cells, TGFβ₁ knockdown was 100%, and TGFβ₂ knockdown was 93% of the vector-transported cells. Five patients were vaccinated (1 or 2.5 × 10⁷ cells/intradermal injection, 6–11 vaccinations). No FANG toxicity was observed. Three of these patients demonstrated evidence of an immune response to the autologous tumor cell sample. Long-term follow-up demonstrated survival of 319, 729, 784, 931+, and 1,043+ days of the FANG-treated patients. In conclusion, evidence supports further assessment of the FANG immunotherapy in HCC.

© 2014 S. Karger AG, Basel

John Nemunaitis, MD
1700 Pacific
Suite 1100
Dallas, TX 75201 (USA)
E-Mail jnemunaitis@marycrowley.org

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common diagnosed primary liver cancer and is frequently associated with chronic viral hepatitis (hepatitis B and C) and/or cirrhosis (independent of etiology) [1]. Patients with advanced multisite disease have limited treatment options. FDA approval of the receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor sorafenib was based on a 2.8-month improvement in survival (range 7.9–10.7 months) [2, 3]. Despite ongoing evaluation of treatment options following progression on sorafenib [4], current therapeutic efforts are limited to palliative management involving surgical resection, percutaneous ethanol injection, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization, radiofrequency ablation, or selective internal radiation [3, 5–14]. Systemic chemotherapy (i.e. doxorubicin, cisplatin, 5-fluorouracil) and/or immune therapy (i.e. interferon) has been associated with a transient response without survival advantage [15].

Although preliminary evidence supports the potential of an immunotherapeutic approach to HCC, clinical confirmation of effectiveness has been elusive. Activation of an HCC-specific response can be demonstrated through targeting of tumor-associated self-antigens, DNA-mutated antigens, or against viral antigens (hepatitis B, C virus) [16]. Clinical testing suggestive of positive activity has involved the use of adoptive transfer of lymphocytes, autologous tumor-pulsed dendritic cells (DCs) and alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) -pulsed DCs, but no significant tumor regression or survival response has been observed [17]. The use of interferon remains controversial insofar as clinical trials demonstrated reduced recurrence rates but without overall survival effect even when used in the adjuvant setting [18].

Initial phase II testing [19] results of JX-594, a nonpathogenic poxvirus modified to express a wild-type granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) DNA segment, initially suggested potential benefit in advanced HCC in sequence with sorafenib. However, randomized phase IIB testing in HCC patients who progressed on sorafenib failed to demonstrate survival advantage over best supportive care [20].

The liver, however, is inherently tolerogenic [21], related, in part, to the shielding of hepatocytes by nonparenchymal structures, the abundance of local antigen-presenting cell (APC) populations [specifically Kupffer cells (KCs), liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs), and migrating DCs] [22]. One of the factors associated with immune suppression in the liver involved disease is the constitutive expression of transforming growth factor beta (TGF β) [23, 24], which influences T cell differentiation and APC maturation. This presence, when combined with the HCC expression of TGF β , other immune-suppressive cytokines, and molecular immune checkpoints [25, 26], further limits immune-directed, therapeutic opportunities and may relate to limited benefit, thus far demonstrated with immune-therapeutic attempts to modify HCC progression.

Recently, we have published evidence of immune induction, safety, and clinical benefit in advanced solid tumor cancer patients who received FANGTM immunotherapy [27]. FANG is a unique, triplex [17], autologous tumor cell immunotherapy, which provides three immune modulatory components: (1) an autologous whole-cell complex providing a tumor-specific full antigen matrix, (2) immune activation via local-regional GM-CSF protein expression, and (3) inhibition of TGF β ₁ and TGF β ₂ expression through knockdown of the proprotein convertase furin, utilizing a novel bifunctional small hairpin RNA interference (bi-shRNAi) technology [28]. In this paper, updated preliminary results of the FANG immunotherapy in the specific subset of patients with advanced HCC previously incorporated in our phase I publication [29] are reported.

Materials and Methods

The construction and cGMP manufacturing of the FANG immunotherapy have been described [27, 30]. Briefly, the FANG vector utilizes the pUMVC3 vector backbone in which the GM-CSF encoding cDNA and the DNA encoding the bi-shRNA^{furin} are under transcriptional control of the cytomegalovirus immediate early promoter of the expression vector. The final construct was confirmed by bidirectional sequencing. Following protocol-specific informed consent, the tumor was excised, placed in sterile media, and brought to the Gradalis, Inc. manufacturing facility (Carrollton, Tex., USA).

The FANG immunotherapy is manufactured over 2 conservative days by first dissociating the tumor cells into a single-cell suspension, then electroporating the FANG plasmid into the cells followed by overnight incubation. The next day, the cells were irradiated, then placed for the final fill, cryopreserved, and subjected to release testing. Following release by Quality Assurance, patients may be treated.

Study Design

The primary objective of this phase I, nonrandomized, open label trial, as previously described [27], was to evaluate the safety of the FANG immunotherapy in patients with advanced solid tumors without alternative standard therapy options. Following progression on previous therapy, the patients were entered into 1 of 3 cohorts, depending on the manufacturing cell yield from the harvested tumor, using a minimum criteria of 4 monthly injections at either 1×10^7 cells/injection (Cohort 1) or 2.5×10^7 cells/injection (Cohort 2). A maximum of 12 intradermal injections, each with a 1-ml injection volume, were administered monthly, alternating between the right and left upper arms (4 of the first 6 patients for whom doses of 2.5×10^7 cells/injection were prepared were treated with a volume of 0.4 ml to deliver 1.0×10^7 cells/injection as per FDA guidance). A safety assessment was made after the first 6 patients were administered 1.0×10^7 cells/injection. Details including image, laboratory assessment, and tumor response criteria have been previously described [27].

Eligibility requirements included the manufacturing of a minimum of 4 immunotherapy doses. The treatment was continued until documentation of progressive disease or to a maximum of 12 injections.

The trial was performed after approval by a local Human Investigations Committee and in accordance with an assurance filed and approved by the Department of Health and Human Services. This included approval for a long-term follow-up of the subset of HCC patients analyzed separately in this review.

Patient Population

All eligible patients were treated in the outpatient facilities of the Mary Crowley Cancer Research Centers (MCCRC; Dallas, Tex., USA). Specific inclusion criteria have been previously described [27].

ELISPOT Assay

The ELISPOT (enzyme-linked immunospot) assay was performed using the enzyme-linked immunospot assay for interferon gamma (BD Biosciences, San Jose, Calif., USA), as previously described [27, 31]. The reading of the ELISPOT plates was performed by ZellNet Consulting, Inc. (Fort Lee, N.J., USA). A value of ≥ 10 spots and $>2\times$ baseline was considered positive. ELISPOT analysis was performed on patients receiving at least 4 vaccinations, and the response status at baseline and month 4 after treatment start was compared using a paired t test ($n = 18$).

Results

Patient/Immunotherapy Characteristics

Eight patients with advanced HCC were entered into the phase I study (BB-IND 14205, CL-PTL 101) [27]. All underwent tumor resection as part of the standard medical management for palliative control of disease, which allowed for tumor cell procurement and FANG processing/manufacture. Patient characteristics are shown in table 1.

A successful FANG immunotherapy was constructed in 7 of the 8 patients. In 1 patient (072), insufficient tissue was available to produce the required number (4) of immunotherapies. Sufficient tissue, however, was available to test and demonstrate tumor cell viability,

Table 1. Patient characteristics

Patient ID	Age/sex	Cancer	Disease sites	Hepatitis viral status	Prior treatment
FANG-036	64/male	HCC	Liver	Negative	Therasphere, TACE, chemoembolization
FANG-039	60/male	HCC	Liver mets	Hepatitis B ^{ab} positive	Doxorubicin, leucovorin, carboplatin, 5FU, sorafenib
FANG-044	42/female	HCC	Lung mets	Unknown	Adriamycin
FANG-047	70/female	HCC	Liver	Negative	Chemoembolization
FANG-055	40/male	HCC	Liver	Negative	Nexavar, doxorubicin, chemoembolization, intra-arterial with TACE, pkinase inhibitor, sorafenib
FANG-072	56/male	HCC	L lower lobe and lingular lung	Hepatitis C RNA PCR positive	Doxorubicin (IHA), sorafenib, doxorubicin (IHA)
FANG-073	56/male	HCC	Liver	Not done	None
FANG-078	76/male	HCC	Ascites fluid	Not done	Sorafenib, therasphere

IHA = Intrahepatic artery; mets = metastasis; L = left; TACE = transarterial chemoembolization; ab = antibody.

Table 2. Immunotherapy characteristics

Patient ID	Tissue harvested	Tissue weight, g	Dose/vial	Vials constructed, n	Mean cell viability, %	Day 7 GM-CSF expression, pg/10 ⁶ cells	% TGFβ ₁ knockdown	% TGFβ ₂ knockdown	Furin knockdown PCR
FANG-036	Liver	14.20	1.0 × 10 ⁷	11	97	130	94	97	Positive
FANG-039	Liver mets	13.90	2.5 × 10 ⁷	7	96	61	100	91	Positive
FANG-044	Lung mets	16.28	2.5 × 10 ⁷	6	95	1,520	100	73	Positive
FANG-047	Liver	63.03	2.5 × 10 ⁷	8	97	158	100	48	Positive
FANG-055	Liver	74.59	2.5 × 10 ⁷	9	97	55	100	99	Positive
FANG-072	L. lower lobe and lingular lung	9.00	N/A	0 ^a	91	525	100	96	Positive
FANG-073	Liver	30.5	1.0 × 10 ⁷	4	91	91	100	94	Positive
FANG-078	Ascites fluid	1.8 liters ^b	2.5 × 10 ⁷	6	93	4,294	100	100	Positive

mets = Metastasis; L = left. ^a Minimal tissue for product assessment was available. ^b Fluid volume.

transgene expression, and the ex vivo level of knockdown of TGFβ₁/β₂ in all 8 patients compared to nontransfected autologous tumors (table 2).

Clinical Response

Five patients were treated with FANG (table 3). Three (036, 044, 047) maintained stable disease for ≥6 months, and 2 (039, 055) achieved stable disease for ≥4 months. Four of these 5 patients survived >2 years from time of treatment (table 3). No significant adverse events were observed (table 4), and immune response as measured by ELISPOT reactivity (γIFN ELISPOT assay) demonstrated a significant induction of systemic immune response in 3 of 5 of the vaccinated patients (table 5).

Discussion

This subset evaluation of FANG in patients with advanced HCC demonstrates safety and preservation of immune responsiveness (per ELISPOT) to a similar degree (3 of 5 as compared to approx. 50%) as previously reported in heavily treated cancer patients. It is encouraging

Table 3. Treatment results (as of November 21, 2013)

Patient ID	Immunotherapies received, n	Days alive since procurement	Days alive since treatment	Reason for treatment discontinuation	Current survival status
FANG-036	11	729	682	Normal completion	Dead
FANG-039	5	784	738	Disease progression	Dead
FANG-044	6	1,102	1,067	Normal completion	Alive
FANG-047	8	990	948	Normal completion	Alive
FANG-055	5	319	270	Disease progression	Dead
FANG-072	0	282	N/A	N/A	Alive
FANG-073	0	224	N/A	N/A	Alive
FANG-078	0	83	N/A	N/A	Alive

Table 4. Adverse events

Patient ID	Grade 3/4 adverse events	Serious adverse events	Relationship to FANG
FANG-036	None reported	None reported	N/A
FANG-039	None reported	None reported	N/A
FANG-044	Neutropenia	None reported	Unlikely related
FANG-047	None reported	None reported	N/A
FANG-055	Elevated alanine aminotransferase	None reported	Not related
FANG-072	N/A	N/A	N/A
FANG-073	N/A	N/A	N/A
FANG-078	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 5. ELISPOT response

Patient ID	Baseline ELISPOT values (positive spots, n)	Month 4	Month 6	Response status ^a (+/-)
FANG-036	1	37	20	+
FANG-039	1	1	2	-
FANG-044	56	286	173	+
FANG-047	1	1	1	-
FANG-055	5	81	86	+
FANG-072	ND	ND	ND	ND
FANG-073	ND	ND	ND	ND
FANG-078	ND	ND	ND	ND

ND = Not done. ^a Response defined as ≥ 10 ELISPOT assay γ IFN reactive spots (interpreted by a 3rd party, BD Biosciences), with ≤ 10 spots at baseline or more than twice the number of γ IFN reactive spots at baseline.

that all 5 treated patients experienced stable disease for ≥ 4 months, with 4 of these 5 surviving >2 years. In the phase III study of sorafenib, the time to radiologic progression in the control arm was 2.8 months, and the median overall survival was 7.9 months.

Long-term follow-up results of FANG in prior phase I testing show a correlation of survival with ELISPOT activation [29]. There are three key mechanisms of immune-modulating activity required for effective therapeutic activity of immunotherapy to control cancer progression. These include antigen education and/or reversal of tolerance, immune afferent arm stimulation, and suppression of innate immune inhibitors [17]. Most immunotherapies incorporate one or two of these mechanisms for induction of antitumor activity. The FANG immunotherapy, a novel class of ‘triad’ immunotherapies, expands the immune-modulating capability by the concurrent use of all three mechanisms. The autologous tumor tissue harvested for each individual’s immunotherapy allows for the presentation of the full matrix of relevant tumor antigens. The expressive GM-CSF DNA sequence provides a multifactorial stimulus to the afferent immune recognition and processing arm of the composite response arc. Finally, the bi-shRNA^{furin}-mediated knockdown of furin and consequent silencing of TGF β_1 and TGF β_2 expression results in the suppression of primary innate immune suppressive proteins. The latter two mechanisms, when combined with more complete antigenic exposure, allow for a higher probability of antigen (re)education and/or reversal of tolerance.

There are published data to support an immunotherapeutic approach to HCC. Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes derived from harvested HCC samples and expanded ex vivo with interleukin-2 have been shown to lyse autologous tumors [32]. Moreover, patients with HCC in whom biopsy shows infiltration by lymphocytes have a better prognosis after surgical resection [33]. Although evidence of immune-modulating activity in HCC has also been suggested in both therapeutic [34, 35] and adjuvant settings [36–38], no randomized studies have been done in HCC to determine the effectiveness of immune-modulatory treatment [21]. The targeting of relevant cancer antigens is critical for successful cancer control through immunomodulation. AFP is expressed in 50–80% of all HCC cases. Various human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-A2- or HLA-A24-restricted AFP-specific epitopes have been identified. AFP has been shown to be an effective tumor rejection antigen in murine HCC [39]. Additionally, an AFP-derived peptide immunotherapy has been demonstrated to induce antigen-specific CD8 T cell response in HCC patients [40]. Several AFP-based immunotherapy regimens have also been reported; however, no dramatic clinical benefit was observed [6, 40–43]. GPC3, MAGE, and NY-ESO-1 are also expressed in HCC tumors, but less clinical experience is available targeting these antigens in HCC. Enhancement of immune function through cytokine stimulation, particularly IFN, has also shown some activity and/or benefit in HCC [40, 44–46], preventing or delaying tumor recurrence after surgical resection or ablation [44, 47].

However, other evidence suggests limits to immune-modulating approaches in HCC. As noted, the liver is considered an immune-privileged organ [21]. Three types of APCs are contained in the liver, namely KCs, LSECs, and DCs [22]. KCs and LSECs constitutively express the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 and TGF β [23, 24]. These immunosuppressive cytokines may play a role in immune privilege by influencing T cell differentiation and suppressing APC maturation. Hepatic stellate cells also express TGF β after chronic liver injury [48, 49]. One of the mechanisms of tumor escape from the immune response is impairment of DC function. In cancer patients, inadequate DC function has been suggested to relate to nonresponsiveness to antitumor immunity [50]. Immunosuppressive factors that inhibit DC maturation are released by tumors; for example, human cancer cells release vascular endothelial growth factor [51]. Other cytokines derived from tumors, such as IL-6 [52] and IL-10 [53], also influence the function of DCs. Additionally, DCs have a reduced function in cancers, including HCC, in that they cannot stimulate T cells [25, 26]. HLA class I expression of HCC may be downregulated [54, 55]. However, strong HLA class I expression in HCC has also been

reported [56]. Thus, the level of major histocompatibility complex class I expression in HCC is unclear. Furthermore, expression of the co-stimulatory molecules B7-1 and B7-2 is reduced in HCC [55]. Such downregulation causes impairment of tumor antigen-processing and presentation. PD-L1 expression of KCs has been shown to be increased in tumor tissues of patients with HCC and is correlated with poor survival [57]. These data suggest that effector phase T cell inhibition is associated with tumor survival. Decoy receptor 3 (DcR3), a member of the TNF receptor superfamily, might also be involved in immune escape. DcR3 inhibits FasL-induced apoptosis by binding to its ligand Fas. Additionally, DcR3 overexpression in HCC has been reported [58, 59]. High numbers of Tregs in peripheral blood of HCC patients were detected [60, 61]. CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ Tregs impair the cytotoxic function of tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells [62]. The levels of the immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 are increased in HCC patients, a finding that is related to Treg induction [63].

In conclusion, preliminary clinical and immune results as well as long-term follow-up in patients with HCC provide the basis for further disease-specific exploration of the FANG immunotherapy, warranting further testing in phase II studies.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the generous support of the Jasper L. and Jack Denton Wilson Foundation, the Summerfield G. Roberts Foundation, the Crowley-Carter Foundation, the Crowley Shanahan Foundation, the Linda Tallen and David Paul Kane Cancer Educational and Research Foundation, the Marilyn Augur Family Foundation, and Gradalis, Inc.

Disclosure Statement

The following authors are shareholders in Gradalis, Inc.: J.N., J.L., G.W., B.O.P., P.K., P.B.M., and N.S. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in this paper.

References

- 1 Kumar V, Abbas A, Fausto N; in Kumar V, Fausto N, Abbas A, Robbins SL, Cotran RS (eds): Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease (ed 7). Philadelphia, Elsevier Saunders, 2005, pp 914–917.
- 2 Keating GM, Santoro A: Sorafenib: a review of its use in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Drugs* 2009;69:223–240.
- 3 Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, Hilgard P, Gane E, Blanc JF, et al: Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2008;359:378–390.
- 4 Villanueva A, Llovet JM: Second-line therapies in hepatocellular carcinoma: emergence of resistance to sorafenib. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012;18:1824–1826.
- 5 Kumada T, Nakano S, Takeda I, Sugiyama K, Osada T, Kiriya S, et al: Patterns of recurrence after initial treatment in patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 1997;25:87–92.
- 6 Sawada Y, Yoshikawa T, Nobuoka D, Shirakawa H, Kuronuma T, Motomura Y, et al: Phase I trial of a glypican-3-derived peptide vaccine for advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: immunologic evidence and potential for improving overall survival. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012;18:3686–3696.
- 7 El-Serag HB, Marrero JA, Rudolph L, Reddy KR: Diagnosis and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2008;134:1752–1763.
- 8 Yamamoto J, Okada S, Shimada K, Okusaka T, Yamasaki S, Ueno H, et al: Treatment strategy for small hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of long-term results after percutaneous ethanol injection therapy and surgical resection. *Hepatology* 2001;34(4 Pt 1):707–713.
- 9 Chen MS, Li JQ, Zheng Y, Guo RP, Liang HH, Zhang YQ, et al: A prospective randomized trial comparing percutaneous local ablative therapy and partial hepatectomy for small hepatocellular carcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2006;243:321–328.
- 10 Genco C, Cabibbo G, Maida M, Brancatelli G, Galia M, Alessi N, et al: Treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma: present and future. *Exp Rev Anticancer Ther* 2013;13:469–479.

- 11 Ni JY, Xu LF, Sun HL, Zhou JX, Chen YT, Luo JH: Percutaneous ablation therapy versus surgical resection in the treatment for early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma: a meta-analysis of 21,494 patients. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2013;139:2021–2033.
- 12 Giunchedi P, Maestri M, Gavini E, Dionigi P, Rassa G: Transarterial chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma – agents and drugs: an overview. Part 2. *Expert Opin Drug Deliv* 2013;10:799–810.
- 13 Kudo M, Izumi N, Kokudo N, Matsui O, Sakamoto M, Nakashima O, et al: Management of hepatocellular carcinoma in Japan: Consensus-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines proposed by the Japan Society of Hepatology (JSH) 2010 updated version. *Dig Dis* 2011;29:339–364.
- 14 Takayama T: Surgical treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma. *JCO* 2011;41:447–454.
- 15 Cheng AL, Kang YK, Chen Z, Tsao CJ, Qin S, Kim JS, et al: Efficacy and safety of sorafenib in patients in the Asia-Pacific region with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: a phase III randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2009;10:25–34.
- 16 Butterfield LH: Immunotherapeutic strategies for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* 2004;127(5 suppl 1):S232–S241.
- 17 Nemunaitis J: Multifunctional vaccines in cancer: the ‘triad’ approach. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2011;10:713–715.
- 18 Zhuang L, Zeng X, Yang Z, Meng Z: Effect and safety of interferon for hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e61361.
- 19 Heo J, Reid T, Ruo L, Breitbart CJ, Rose S, Bloomston M, et al: Randomized dose-finding clinical trial of oncolytic immunotherapeutic vaccinia JX-594 in liver cancer. *Nat Med* 2013;19:329–336.
- 20 Jennerex Biotherapeutics: Jennerex and transgene present positive clinical data from phase 2 trial of JX594/TG6006 in sorafenib-refractory liver cancer patients, 2012. http://www.transgene.fr/index.php?option=com_press_release&task=download&id=214&l=en (accessed October 2013).
- 21 Sawada Y, Ofuji K, Sakai M, Nakatsura T: Immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: current status and future perspectives, 2013, DOI: 10.5772/54594.
- 22 Abe M, Thomson A: Antigen processing and presentation in the liver; in Gershwin ME, Vierling JM, Manns MP (eds): *Liver Immunology Principles and Practice*. New York, Human Press Inc., 2007, pp 49–59.
- 23 Knolle PA, Gerken G: Local control of the immune response in the liver. *Immunol Rev* 2000;174:21–34.
- 24 Crispe IN: Hepatic T cells and liver tolerance. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2003;3:51–62.
- 25 Satthaporn S, Robins A, Vassanasiri W, El-Sheemy M, Jibril JA, Clark D, et al: Dendritic cells are dysfunctional in patients with operable breast cancer. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2004;53:510–518.
- 26 Ormandy LA, Farber A, Cantz T, Petrykowska S, Wedemeyer H, Horning M, et al: Direct ex vivo analysis of dendritic cells in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2006;12:3275–3282.
- 27 Senzer N, Barve M, Kuhn J, Melnyk A, Beitsch P, Lazar M, et al: Phase I trial of ‘bi-shRNAi(furin)/GMCSF DNA/autologous tumor cell’ vaccine (FANG) in advanced cancer. *Mol Ther* 2012;20:679–686.
- 28 Rao DD, Maples PB, Senzer N, Kumar P, Wang Z, Pappen BO, et al: Enhanced target gene knockdown by a bifunctional shRNA: a novel approach of RNA interference. *Cancer Gene Ther* 2010;17:780–791.
- 29 Senzer N, Barve M, Nemunaitis J, Kuhn J, Melnyk A, Beitsch P, et al: Long-term follow-up: phase I trial of ‘bi-shRNA furin/GMCSF DNA/autologous tumor cell’ immunotherapy (FANGTM) in advanced cancer. *J Vaccines Vaccin* 2013;4:209.
- 30 Maples PB, Kumar P, Yu Y, Wang Z, Jay CM, Pappen BO, et al: FANG vaccine: autologous tumor vaccine genetically modified to express GM-CSF and block production of furin. *BPJ* 2010;8:4–14.
- 31 Olivares J, Kumar P, Yu Y, Maples PB, Senzer N, Bedell C, et al: Phase I trial of TGF-beta 2 antisense GM-CSF gene-modified autologous tumor cell (TAG) vaccine. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011;17:183–192.
- 32 Yoong KF, Adams DH: Phenotypic and functional analyses of fresh and recombinant interleukin-2 cultured tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes derived from malignant human liver tumours. *Biochem Soc Trans* 1997;25:271S.
- 33 Wada Y, Nakashima O, Kutami R, Yamamoto O, Kojiro M: Clinicopathological study on hepatocellular carcinoma with lymphocytic infiltration. *Hepatology* 1998;27:407–414.
- 34 Breous E, Thimme R: Potential of immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2011;54:830–834.
- 35 Greten TF, Manns MP, Korangy F: Immunotherapy of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2006;45:868–878.
- 36 Takayama T, Sekine T, Makuuchi M, Yamasaki S, Kosuge T, Yamamoto J, et al: Adoptive immunotherapy to lower postsurgical recurrence rates of hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000;356:802–807.
- 37 Kuang M, Peng BG, Lu MD, Liang LJ, Huang JF, He Q, et al: Phase II randomized trial of autologous formalin-fixed tumor vaccine for postsurgical recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:1574–1579.
- 38 Peng BG, Liang LJ, He Q, Kuang M, Lia JM, Lu MD, et al: Tumor vaccine against recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005;11:700–704.
- 39 Vollmer CM Jr, Eilber FC, Butterfield LH, Ribas A, Dissette VB, Koh A, et al: Alpha-fetoprotein-specific genetic immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 1999;59:3064–3067.
- 40 Butterfield LH, Ribas A, Meng WS, Dissette VB, Amarnani S, Vu HT, et al: T-cell responses to HLA-A*0201 immunodominant peptides derived from alpha-fetoprotein in patients with hepatocellular cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003;9(16 Pt 1):5902–5908.

- 41 Butterfield LH, Ribas A, Dissette VB, Lee Y, Yang JQ, De la Rocha P, et al: A phase I/II trial testing immunization of hepatocellular carcinoma patients with dendritic cells pulsed with four alpha-fetoprotein peptides. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12:2817–2825.
- 42 Nobuoka D, Yoshikawa T, Sawada Y, Fujiwara T, Nakatsura T: Peptide vaccines for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 2013;9:210–212.
- 43 Nakatsura T: Annual Report 2012: Division of Cancer Immunotherapy; 2012. http://www.ncc.go.jp/en/publication/2012/ncce/ncce31_02.html.
- 44 Lin SM, Lin CJ, Hsu CW, Tai DI, Sheen IS, Lin DY, et al: Prospective randomized controlled study of interferon-alpha in preventing hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence after medical ablation therapy for primary tumors. *Cancer* 2004;100:376–382.
- 45 Lygidakis NJ, Kosmidis P, Ziras N, Parissis J, Kyparidou E: Combined transarterial targeting locoregional immunotherapy-chemotherapy for patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a new alternative for an old problem. *J Interferon Cytokine Res* 1995;15:467–472.
- 46 Reinisch W, Holub M, Katz A, Herneth A, Lichtenberger C, Schoniger-Hekele M, et al: Prospective pilot study of recombinant granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor and interferon-gamma in patients with inoperable hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Immunother* 2002;25:489–499.
- 47 Sun HC, Tang ZY, Wang L, Qin LX, Ma ZC, Ye QH, et al: Postoperative interferon alpha treatment postponed recurrence and improved overall survival in patients after curative resection of HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomized clinical trial. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 2006;132:458–465.
- 48 Bissell DM, Wang SS, Jarnagin WR, Roll FJ: Cell-specific expression of transforming growth factor-beta in rat liver. Evidence for autocrine regulation of hepatocyte proliferation. *J Clin Invest* 1995;96:447–455.
- 49 De Minicis S, Seki E, Uchinami H, Kluwe J, Zhang Y, Brenner DA, et al: Gene expression profiles during hepatic stellate cell activation in culture and in vivo. *Gastroenterology* 2007;132:1937–1946.
- 50 Gabrilovich D: Mechanisms and functional significance of tumour-induced dendritic-cell defects. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2004;4:941–952.
- 51 Gabrilovich DI, Chen HL, Girgis KR, Cunningham HT, Meny GM, Nadaf S, et al: Production of vascular endothelial growth factor by human tumors inhibits the functional maturation of dendritic cells. *Nat Med* 1996;2:1096–1103.
- 52 Menetrier-Caux C, Montmain G, Dieu MC, Bain C, Favrot MC, Caux C, et al: Inhibition of the differentiation of dendritic cells from CD34(+) progenitors by tumor cells: role of interleukin-6 and macrophage colony-stimulating factor. *Blood* 1998;92:4778–4791.
- 53 Yang AS, Lattime EC: Tumor-induced interleukin 10 suppresses the ability of splenic dendritic cells to stimulate CD4 and CD8 T-cell responses. *Cancer Res* 2003;63:2150–2157.
- 54 Kurokohchi K, Carrington M, Mann DL, Simonis TB, Alexander-Miller MA, Feinstone SM, et al: Expression of HLA class I molecules and the transporter associated with antigen processing in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 1996;23:1181–1188.
- 55 Fujiwara K, Higashi T, Nouse K, Nakatsukasa H, Kobayashi Y, Uemura M, et al: Decreased expression of B7 costimulatory molecules and major histocompatibility complex class-I in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;19:1121–1127.
- 56 Huang J, Cai MY, Wei DP: HLA class I expression in primary hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J Gastroenterol* 2002;8:654–657.
- 57 Wu K, Kryczek I, Chen L, Zou W, Welling TH: Kupffer cell suppression of CD8+ T cells in human hepatocellular carcinoma is mediated by B7-H1/programmed death-1 interactions. *Cancer Res* 2009;69:8067–8075.
- 58 Chen C, Zhang C, Zhuang G, Luo H, Su J, Yin P, et al: Decoy receptor 3 overexpression and immunologic tolerance in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) development. *Cancer Invest* 2008;26:965–974.
- 59 Shen HW, Gao SL, Wu YL, Peng SY: Overexpression of decoy receptor 3 in hepatocellular carcinoma and its association with resistance to Fas ligand-mediated apoptosis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005;11:5926–5930.
- 60 Ormandy LA, Hillemann T, Wedemeyer H, Manns MP, Greten TF, Korangy F: Increased populations of regulatory T cells in peripheral blood of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2005;65:2457–2464.
- 61 Yang XH, Yamagiwa S, Ichida T, Matsuda Y, Sugahara S, Watanabe H, et al: Increase of CD4+ CD25+ regulatory T-cells in the liver of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2006;45:254–262.
- 62 Unitt E, Rushbrook SM, Marshall A, Davies S, Gibbs P, Morris LS, et al: Compromised lymphocytes infiltrate hepatocellular carcinoma: the role of T-regulatory cells. *Hepatology* 2005;41:722–730.
- 63 Beckebaum S, Zhang X, Chen X, Yu Z, Frilling A, Dworacki G, et al: Increased levels of interleukin-10 in serum from patients with hepatocellular carcinoma correlate with profound numerical deficiencies and immature phenotype of circulating dendritic cell subsets. *Clin Cancer Res* 2004;10:7260–7269.

© Free Author Copy – for personal use only

ANY DISTRIBUTION OF THIS ARTICLE WITHOUT WRITTEN CONSENT FROM S. KARGER AG, BASEL IS A VIOLATION OF THE COPYRIGHT.

Written permission to distribute the PDF will be granted against payment of a permission fee, which is based on the number of accesses required. Please contact permission@karger.ch